

Meeting Comprehensive Care Standard Action 5.15

The Comprehensive Care at the End of Life actions are new to the National Safety and Quality Health Care Standards and include these actions:



5.15: The health service organisation has processes to identify patients who are at the end of life.



Consider these questions:

- What policies and processes are enacted regarding identifying patients at the end of life in your organisation?
- What is your organisation trying to accomplish in terms of quality assurance in end-of-life care?
- How will you know whether certain changes lead to an improvement in end-of-life care?
- What changes will drive improvement?

Consider accessing the **End-of-Life Essentials** module: *Meeting the Standards* and *Toolkit* to learn more about what you can do to deliver high-quality end-of-life care and prepare the organisation for accreditation, including:

- Leadership ensuring coordinated goals, priorities, and strategic directions drives quality.
- Workforce capability ensuring staff have the knowledge, skill and competence to implement the use of triggers and tools that identify end of life.
- Communication enable timely and appropriate communication within and beyond teams and care settings.
- Evaluation and audit to monitor quality and safety and to identify areas of need within the organisation.

The **Recognising the End of Life** module highlights that "Many health care professionals are unaware of how to recognise the end of life and this leads to missed opportunities". Tools that can help clinicians step back from the acute situation and consider whether end-of-life care might be needed, include:

1. SPICT¹

The Supportive and Palliative Care Indicators Tool (SPICT) is one example of a trigger tool that can help health professionals to identify if patients have end-of-life care needs.

2. The Surprise Question²

'Would you be surprised if this patient were to die in the next few months, weeks, days'? The Surprise Question as a prognostic tool.

3. AKPS³

Australian Modified Karnofsky Performance Score is a measure of the patient's overall performance status or ability to perform their activities of daily living. View AKPS Scale.

4. ECOG4

The ECOG Scale of Performance Status describes a patient's level of functioning in terms of their ability to care for themself, daily activity, and physical ability (walking, working, etc). View ECOG Performance Status.



Prioritise. Consider areas where the numbers of patient deaths are high (e.g., medical units) and systematically audit the identification of patients at he end of life using recognised tools. Identification of end of life and dying is a foundational step in providing safe and quality care.

Highet et al. Development and evaluation of the Supportive and Palliative Care Indicators Tool (SPICT): a mixed-methods study. BMJ Support Palliat Care. 2014 Sep;4(3):285-90. Epub 2013 Jul 25.

Downar et al. The "surprise question" for predicting death in seriously ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis CMAJ, 2017, Apr 3: 189(13):E484-493

Abernathy et al. The Australia-modified Karnofsky Performance Status (AKPS) scale: a revised scale for contemporary palliative care clinical practice. [ISRCTN81117481]. BMC Palliat Care 4, 7 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-684X-4-7 Oken et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Clin Oncol. 1982 Dec;5(6):649-55